

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/788,421	GAUGHAN, JOHN
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ronald W. Leja	2836

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Ronald W. Leja.

(3) _____.

(2) Keith J. Townsend.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 June 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 1 and 8

Prior art documents discussed:

Pryor et al. (5,319,515)


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/CPD Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Proposed claim language to overcome possible use of Pryor et al. as Prior Art in the rejections of Claims 1-10. However, the Examiner decided that withdrawal of the Finality of Office Action 4/12/2005 was more appropriate at this time; see Page 2 of the instant Office Action for details..